



Communication de la session de Nutrition

Teillet B., Colin M., Lebas F., Alvensleben S.V., Bezille H., Prigent A.Y., 2012. Performance of growing rabbits fed diets supplemented with Sangrovit® in interaction with the feeding plan. *10th World Rabbit Congress – September 3 - 6, 2012 – Sharm El-Sheikh – Egypt*, 531 - 535

Résumé français

Performances de croissance de lapins recevant un aliment supplémenté par du Sangrovit® en interaction avec le plan d'alimentation

Chez les lapins en engrangissement, la mortalité observée vers 50-60 jours est généralement en relation avec des troubles digestifs tels que des diarrhées et de l'entérocolite. En dehors des antibiotiques, une des solutions utilisée pour contrôler cette mortalité est une restriction alimentaire qui réduit effectivement la mortalité, mais réduit simultanément la vitesse de croissance. Un additif alimentaire, le Sangrovit®, est un produit naturel extrait de *Macleaya cordata* une plante de la famille des papavéracées, qui contient des alcaloïdes du type benzophenanthridines quaternaires et protopine (QBA-PA), dont la sanguinarine est particulièrement riche. Les alcaloïdes QBA-PA de la sanguinarine sont des phytoalexines produites par la plante pour inhiber la croissance des bactéries champignons et virus. L'objectif de ce travail est de déterminer l'effet du Sangrovit® sur la viabilité, la croissance et les performances d'abattage des lapins entre le sevrage et l'âge d'abattage. Une expérimentation a été conduite selon un schéma factoriel 3 x 2 correspondant à 3 doses de Sangrovit® (0 – 40 et 80 ppm dans l'aliment) et à 2 niveau d'alimentation (restriction vs ad libitum). Au total 1573 lapins (hybrides Hyplus PS19 x PS40) ont été répartis au sevrage (36 j) en 6 groupes homogènes composé de 52 ou 53 cages de 5 lapins puis alimentés jusqu'à l'âge de l'abattage (74 j) selon le schéma expérimental.

Ce travail confirme que sans additif, la restriction alimentaire réduit la mortalité (11,0 vs 17,7% ; P<0,05) et améliore l'indice de consommation (3,84 vs 4,06), mais réduit la vitesse de croissance (39,6 vs 43,1 g/jour). L'addition de 40 ppm de Sangrovit® réduit la mortalité en début d'engraissement (P<0,05), mais une mortalité tardive inexplicable efface une partie du bénéfice. A l'inverse, l'addition de 80 ppm de Sangrovit® réduit significativement la mortalité sur l'ensemble de la période (P<0,05) chez les lapins nourris à volonté (9,4 vs 17,7%). Quelque soit son dosage, l'addition de Sangrovit® ne modifie ni la vitesse de croissance, ni l'indice de consommation ou le rendement à l'abattage. Par contre la réduction de la mortalité améliore l'indice de consommation économique. Ce travail montre que le dosage souhaitable d'un additif est variable en fonction du niveau d'alimentation. En conditions de restriction un dosage 40 ppm de Sangrovit® est conseillé. Par contre, si les lapins doivent être alimentés *ad libitum*, un dosage de 80 ppm est recommandé car il a un effet similaire à celui de la restriction alimentaire sur la mortalité d'engraissement, mais sans altération aucune de la vitesse de croissance. Ce dosage de 80 ppm de Sangrovit® améliore donc le bénéfice d'engraissement par rapport à une alimentation restreinte.

PERFORMANCE OF GROWING RABBITS FED DIETS SUPPLEMENTED WITH SANGROVIT® IN INTERACTION WITH THE FEEDING PLAN

Teillet B.^{1*}, Colin M.¹, Lebas F.², Alvensleben S.v.³, Bezille H.³, Prigent A.Y.⁴

¹ COPRI, Coat Izella, 29830 Ploudalmézeau, France

² Association Cuniculture, 87A Chemin de Laserre, 31450 Corronsac, France

³ Phytobiotics Feed Additives, Wallufer Strasse 10a, 65343 Eltville, Germany

⁴ EARL 3L, Coat Izella, 29830 Ploudalmézeau, France

*Corresponding author: copri@wanadoo.fr

ABSTRACT

Fattening rabbit mortality during the 50-60d period is generally in relation with digestive troubles like diarrheas and enterocolitis. Out of the antibiotics, one of the solutions used to control this mortality is the feed restriction, which significantly decreases mortality, but simultaneously reduces growth rate. The feed additive Sangrovit®, is a natural product extracted from *Macleaya cordata*, plants belonging to the Papaveraceae family, which contains quaternary benzophenanthridine and protopine alkaloids (QBA PA) of which sanguinarine has the highest content. The QBA PA alkaloids as sanguinarine are phytoalexine substances produced by the plants to inhibit further growth of bacteria, fungi and viruses. The aim of the present study was to determine the effect of Sangrovit® on viability, growth and slaughter performance of fattening rabbit between weaning and slaughter time. A trial was carried out with an experimental design 3x2 with the dosage of Sangrovit® (0, 40 and 80 ppm) as the first factor and the feeding plan as the second factor (restricted feeding versus *ad libitum* feeding). Rabbits (1573) were distributed in 6 homogenous groups composed of 53 cages of 5 rabbits each, where they were fed from weaning to slaughtering. This work confirms that, without the additive, the feed restriction reduced mortality (11.0 vs 17.7%; P<0.05) and the feed conversion ratio (3.84 vs 4.06) but also reduced growth rate (39.6 vs 43.1 g/d; P<0.01). The addition of 40 ppm of Sangrovit® induced a significant reduction of mortality (P<0.05) but an unexplained late mortality erased the benefit. At the opposite, the dosage of 80 ppm of Sangrovit® decreased significantly (P < 0.05) the mortality in *ad libitum* conditions (9.4% vs 17.7%). Whatever the dosage, Sangrovit® has no average effect on growth rate, feed efficiency or slaughter rate, but the lower mortality reduced the economical feed conversion ratio. This publication shows that the dosage of an additive is different according to the feeding plan. In conditions of feed restriction, the recommended dosage is 40 ppm. In *ad libitum* conditions, 80 ppm of Sangrovit® has the same effects on mortality than the restriction feeding, keeping the positive effects on the growth of feeding *ad libitum*. Consequently, the profit of rabbits fed *ad libitum* with 80 ppm of Sangrovit® is higher than the one of rabbits feed restricted without Sangrovit®.

Key words: Fattening rabbits, Sangrovit®, digestive troubles, feed restriction.

INTRODUCTION

Fattening rabbit mortality during the 50-60d period is generally related to digestive troubles like diarrhea and enterocolitis (Fortun-Lamothe and Gidenne, 2006). During the past years antibiotics were often used to solve these difficulties. At the same time, alternative solutions were studied to replace antibiotics (Maertens *et al.*, 2006). One of these solutions is the feed restriction, *i.e.* the daily distribution of a limited quantity of feed per rabbit according to its age. It allows a significant decrease of mortality, but simultaneously reduces growth rate (Boisot *et al.*, 2005; Foubert *et al.*, 2008; Martignon *et al.*, 2009). The feed additive Sangrovit®, produced and patented by the German company Phytobiotics Feed Additive GmbH, is a natural product extracted from *Macleaya cordata* plants, belonging to the Papaveraceae family, which contains quaternary benzophenanthridine and protopine alkaloids (QBA PA) of which sanguinarine has the highest content (1.5% according to Vieira *et al.*, 2008). The QBA PA alkaloids are substances produced by the plants to inhibit

further growth of bacteria, fungi and viruses (Schmeller et al., 1997). The *Arctomecon humilis* plant, that also contains sanguinarine, is eaten by rabbit in its natural habitat without causing mortality (Raynie et al., 1991). Consequently, the Sangrovit® can have a positive effect on the rabbit viability, because of its anti-inflammatory effect (Lenfeld et al., 1981), its antimicrobial effects (Lenfeld et al., 1981; Dzink and Socransky, 1985; Dvorak and Simanek, 2007) and its antioxidant effects (Chaturvedi et al., 1997). The aim of the present study was to determine the effect of Sangrovit® on the viability, growth and slaughter performance of growing-fattening rabbits in interaction with the feeding plan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A trial has been carried out from the 6th February 2011 to the 17th March 2011. The experimental farm (EARL 3L) doesn't use antibiotics since 2003 in any form.

Animals and experimental design

At weaning (36 d of age), 1573 Hyplus PS19 x PS40 hybrid rabbits of both sexes were divided in 6 homogeneous groups according to weaning weight. The slaughtering age was 74 d. The rabbits were placed in collective cages of 5 rabbits. The fattening unit contained 6 x 57 flat-deck cages (dimensions: 39 x 90 cm). Each line corresponds to a treatment, a former methodological study having shown that this arrangement of the cages doesn't interfere with the experimental results (Revois, 2009). Mechanical ventilation was used in the unit but without heating system. The trial corresponded to an experimental design 3x2 (Table 1) with the dosage of Sangrovit® (0, 40 and 80 ppm) as the first factor and the feeding plan as the second factor (2 levels: restricted versus *ad libitum* feeding).

Table 1: Experimental diets

Diets	SANGROVIT	Feeding	Number of cages	Number of rabbits
C-R		Restricted	53	264
C-AL		<i>Ad Libitum</i>	52	260
S40-R	40 ppm	Restricted	53	265
S40-AL	40 ppm	<i>Ad Libitum</i>	53	265
S80-R	80 ppm	Restricted	53	263
S80-AL	80 ppm	<i>Ad Libitum</i>	52	256
TOTAL			316	1573

Feeds

The basic diet formula corresponds to the control diet already used (Colin et al., 2007). The feed nutritional value is: 2180 kcal of digestible energy (according to the table INRA 2002; Sauvant et al., 2002), 15.1% of crude protein, 3.3% of fat, 7.7% of ash, 20.5% of crude fiber (Weende) and 8.7% of lignin (ADL). The Sangrovit® has been incorporated in substitution of the sunflower seed meal by the use of a concentrate, in order to guarantee the homogeneity of the Sangrovit® in the feed. The concentrate of Sangrovit® containing sodium chloride (30%) and wheat bran (70%) was incorporated at 0, 2 and 4 kg/T. The feed formula modification induced by these incorporations had negligible effect. The program of feed restriction is that recommended by the Hypharm Company (France) for its commercial hybrid rabbits PS19 x PS40 (Figure 1).

Measured criteria

The animals were weighted collectively per cage at 36, 55 and 69 d of age and all together at 74 d. The mortalities were registered daily and apparent causes were characterized for a minimal of 90% of the dead animals and classified in 5 categories: diarrheas, caecal compaction, enterocolitis,




Figure 1: The feeding plan

respiratory problems, other. One dead animal can be affected to several categories. The feed intake per diet was estimated for all the growing-fattening period (weight of the distributed and remaining feed intake at the end) in order to calculate the economical and technical feed conversion ratio. For the last one, the feed intake of the dead rabbits was calculated according to the death date estimating their feed intake from the feed intake of the live rabbits. The proportion of small rabbits (number of rabbit inferior to 1 kg carcass weight in percentage of the number of slaughtered rabbits), seizure (seized weight divided by slaughtered weight) and the slaughtering yield were carried out according to the method of the slaughter company Loeul-et-Piriot in Lignol (France).

Statistical analysis

The continuous variables were studied by 2 x 3 factorial variance analysis with feeding plan (FP) and Sangrovit® (SGT) inclusion, as controlled factors, with interaction FP/SGT (Dagnelie, 1970). The mortalities were treated according to the same statistical design applied on the Boolean values 1 for dead rabbits and 0 for rabbit alive at the end of the considered period.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mortality

The average mortality of the treatments in feed restriction (11%) corresponds to the usual values at this farm (Teillet *et al.*, 2011). The results confirm that the feed restriction without Sangrovit® decreased significantly the mortality during all the growing-fattening period ($P < 0.05$) particularly the mortality by enterocolitis between 50 and 60 d of age (Table 2) as obtained Boisot *et al.*, 2005; Foubert *et al.*, 2008;

Table 2: Mortality results

Treatments	C-R	C-AL	S40-R	S40-AL	S80-R	S80-AL	Total or average	Stand. Deviat	Probability		
	Sangrovit®	0 ppm	0 ppm	40 ppm	40 ppm	80 ppm			FP	SGT	Interact°
Feeding	Restrict.	Ad lib.	Restrict.	Ad lib.	Restrict.	Ad lib.					
Number of rabbits	264	260	265	265	263	256	1573	-	-	-	-
36-55 d	3.4 ab	6.2 b	2.3 a	4.9 ab	4.6 ab	5.5 ab	4.5	0.206	0.044	0.486	0.711
Mortality (%)	55-74 d	7.8 ab	12.3 b	6.6 a	8.7 b	7.2 ab	4.1 a	7.8	0.224	0.335	0.478
	36-74 d	11.0 a	17.7 b	8.7 a	13.2 ab	11.4 ab	9.4 a	11.9	0.296	0.033	0.576
Mortality by diarrhea (%)	36-55 d	2.3 a	3.1 a	2.3 a	1.1 a	3.8 a	2.0 a	2.4	0.158	0.217	0.310
	55-74 d	2.7 ab	4.1 b	0.8 a	4.4 b	2.0 ab	1.2 a	2.5	0.145	0.075	0.288
	36-74 d	4.9 ab	6.9 b	3.0 a	5.3 ab	5.7 ab	3.1 ab	4.8	0.212	0.765	0.535
Mortality by enterocolitis (%)	36-55 d	0.8 a	3.1 b	0.0 a	3.0 b	0.8 a	3.5 b	1.8	0.145	0.002	0.551
	55-74 d	3.9 b	2.0 ab	2.3 b	4.4 b	4.8 b	1.2 a	3.1	0.153	0.539	0.837
	36-74 d	4.5 ab	5.0 b	2.3 a	7.2 b	5.3 b	4.7 b	4.8	0.209	0.080	0.943
Mortality caecal compact. (%)	0.4 ab	1.9 b	0.8 ab	1.1 ab	0.0 a	0.8 ab	0.8	0.091	0.049	0.375	0.576

Martignon *et al.*, 2009. The incorporation of Sangrovit® in the feed had different effects according to the dosage in interaction with the feeding plan. Indeed, at the dosage of 40 ppm, the Sangrovit® overall had no effect on mortality (Table 2). But if the last 2 days are not considered (the increase of mortality during these 2 days was not explicable), the Sangrovit® decreased significantly ($P < 0.05$) the mortality in condition of feed restriction, whereas there was no effect in conditions of *ad libitum* feeding. At the opposite, the dosage of 80 ppm Sangrovit® decreased significantly ($P < 0.05$) the mortality in *ad libitum* feeding, particularly the mortality by diarrhea at the beginning of the growing-fattening period (Table 2). Consequently, the total mortality of the rabbits fed *ad libitum* with the feed containing 80 ppm of Sangrovit® (9.4%) was not significantly different of the control diet restricted.

Growth and feed efficiency

The feed restriction decreased significantly the weight of rabbits and the growth during all the growing-fattening period (Table 3) in agreement with the literature (Boisot *et al.*, 2005; Foubert *et al.*, 2008; Martignon *et al.*, 2009). Whatever the dosage of Sangrovit® and the feeding plan, the Sangrovit® had no effect on the live weight neither the daily growth (Table 3). This result is in opposite to the literature in poultry and pig production, where the Sangrovit® increases the growth rate due to an improvement of the amino acids availability (Vieira *et al.*, 2008; Tschirner, 2008; Ilsley, 2004).

Table 3: Results of weight, average daily growth, feed conversion ratio and slaughter performance

Treatments	C-R	C-AL	S40-R	S40-AL	S80-R	S80-AL	Total or Average	Stand. Deviat°	Probability			
Sangrovit®	0 ppm	0 ppm	40 ppm	40 ppm	80 ppm	80 ppm	FP	SGT	Interact°			
Feeding	Restrict.	<i>Ad lib.</i>	Restrict.	<i>Ad lib.</i>	Restrict.	<i>Ad lib.</i>						
Weight (g)	36 d 55 d 69 d	1070 a 1889 b 2417 b	1067 a 1920 a 2532 a	1070 a 1901 a 2441 b	1063 a 1913 a 2533 a	1073 a 1854 b 2410 b	1066 a 1955 a 2566 a	1068 1905 2483	78 142 165	0.508 0.014 0.001	0.972 0.959 0.958	0.976 0.060 0.385
Average Daily Gain (g/d)	36-55 d 55-69 d 36-69 d	41.0 b 37.7 b 39.6 b	42.6 ab 43.7 a 43.1 a	41.6 b 38.5 b 40.3 b	42.5 ab 44.3 a 43.2 a	39.1 c 39.7 b 39.3 b	44.4 a 43.6 a 44.1 a	41.8 41.2 41.6	5.5 7.6 4.0	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.889 0.824 0.895	0.010 0.552 0.236
Feed conv. ratio	Tech. Eco.	3.84 4.36	4.06 5.09	3.79 4.17	4.06 4.75	3.83 4.38	4.01 4.46	3.94 4.52	only 1 measure per treatment			
Weight at 74 d (g)	2534	2621	2541	2613	2542	2628	2579					
Slaughter perform. (%)	too small seizure carc.%LW	1.52 a 2.17 b 58.1 ab	1.92 a 0.34 a 57.5 b	1.51 a 0.54 ab 57.8 ab	1.13 a 0.95 ab 58.2 a	0.38 a 0.35 a 57.3 b	1.17 a 0.42 a 58.4 a	1.27 0.80 57.9	0.112 0.089 0.023	0.631 0.257 0.018	0.392 0.171 0.502	0.691 0.047 0.000
Profit €/weaned rabbit	0.77	0.51	0.84	0.64	0.72	0.76	0.71	-	-	-	-	

The feed restriction seems to reduce the technical and economical feed conversion ratio, despite the reduction of the weight at slaughter time: 3.82 vs 4.04 and 4.30 vs 4.77 on average for the technical and economical feed conversion ratios respectively. This effect was previously observed by Boisot *et al.* (2005), Foubert *et al.* (2008) or Martignon *et al.* (2009). Whatever the dosage of Sangrovit® and the feeding plan, the Sangrovit® had no effect on the technical feed conversion ratio. Like the growth, this result is in opposite to the literature in poultry and pig production (Vieira *et al.*, 2008; Tschirner, 2008; Ilsley, 2004). In the other hand, in condition of *ad libitum* feeding, the Sangrovit® seems to decrease the economical feed conversion ratio, due to the important decrease of the mortality.

Slaughter results

The proportion of small non marketable rabbits at sale was affected neither by the feeding plan nor by the Sangrovit®. The seizure's rate of the control treatment in condition of feed restriction was abnormally high in this experiment without explanation. The *ad libitum* feeding generally increases the seizure's rate in direct relation to the higher mortality. However, this effect was not observed in this experiment. The Sangrovit® did not affect it too. The feed restriction decreased significantly the carcass yield. This effect does not seem to be indicated in the literature. The Sangrovit® had no specific effect on slaughter rate.

CONCLUSIONS

This publication confirms that the feed restriction decreases mortality, growth rate, but increases the feed conversion ratio. Sangrovit® decreases mortality, in particular by enterocolitis. This work shows that the efficient dosage for an additive may be different according to the feeding plan. In condition of feed restriction, the recommended dosage approaches 40 ppm. At 80 ppm, in *ad libitum* condition, the Sangrovit® allows the same effect than the feed restriction to decrease the mortality, keeping the positive effect of the *ad libitum* feeding on growth.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Misters Philippe Rigaudy and Gwenaël Morice of the company Loeul-et-Piriot for the transmission of slaughter data.

REFERENCES

- Boisot P., Duperray J., Guyonvarch A., 2005. Intérêt d'une restriction hydrique en comparaison au rationnement alimentaire en bonnes conditions sanitaires et lors d'une reproduction expérimentale de l'Entéropathie Epizootique du Lapin (EEL). *11^{èmes journées de la recherche cunicole, 2005 November, Paris, France, 133-136.}*
- Chaturvedi M.M., Kumar A., Darnay B.G., Chainy G.B.N., Aggarwal S., Aggarwal B.B., 1997. Sanguinarine (pseudochelerythrine) is a potent inhibitor of NF-κB activation, IκBα phosphorylation, and degradation. *The journal of biological chemistry, Vol. 272, No. 48, Issue of November 28, 30129-30134.*
- Colin M., Binet E., Prigent A.-Y., 2007. Influence de l'incorporation d'un concentré fibreux riche en lignine sur la mortalité, la croissance et le rendement à l'abattage du lapin. *12^{èmes Journées de la Recherche Cunicole, 2007 November, Le Mans, France, 113-116.}*
- Dvorak Z., Šimanek V., 2007. Metabolism of sanguinarine: The facts and the myths. *Current Drug Metabolism, 8, 173-176*
- Dzink J. L., Socransky S. S., 1985. Comparative in vitro activity of sanguinarine against oral microbial isolates. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 1985 April, 27, 663-665.*
- Fortun-Lamothe L., Gidenne T., 2006. Recent advances in rabbit sciences. 4.1 Recent advances in the digestive physiology of the growing rabbit (p201-210). *Edited by L. Maertens et P. Coudert (2006)*
- Foubert C., Duperray J., Boisot P., Guyonvarch A., 2008. Effect of feed restriction with or without free access to drinking water on performance of growing rabbits in healthy or epizootic rabbit enteropathy conditions. *Proc. 9th World Rabbit Congress, 2008 June, Verona, Italy, 567-572.*
- Ilsley S., 2004. Plant-derived alkaloids boost animal performance and improve amino acid availability. *Feed Compounder, 2004 September, 1-3.*
- Lenfeld J., Kroutil M., Marsalek E., Slavik J., Preininger V., Simanek V., 1981. Antiinflammatory activity of quaternary benzophenanthridine alkaloids from chelidonium majus. *Journal of medicinal plant research, 43, 161-165.*
- Maertens L., Falcao-E-Cunha L., Marounek M., 2006. Recent advances in rabbit sciences. 4.6 Feed additives to reduce the use of antibiotics (p259-265). *Edited by L. Maertens et P. Coudert (2006)*
- Martignon M.H., Combes S., Gidenne T., 2009. Rôle du mode de distribution de l'aliment dans une stratégie de rationnement : conséquences sur le profil d'ingestion, la croissance et la santé digestive du lapin. *13^{èmes journées de la recherche cunicole, 2009 November, Le Mans, France, 39-42.}*
- Raynie E.D., Nelson D.R., Harper K.T., 1991. Alkaloidal relationships in the genus Arctomecon (Papaveraceae) and herbivory in *A. humilis*. *Great Basin Naturalist, 51, 397-403.*
- Revois S., 2009. Analyse des facteurs de croissance et de mortalité chez le lapin. *Rapport de fin de stage. Institut universitaire de technologie. Université de Bretagne Sud. 81pp.*
- Sauvan D., Perez J.-M., Tran G., 2002. Tables de composition et de valeur nutritive des matières premières destinées aux animaux d'élevage. *INRA, AFZ ed. Paris, France, 301pp.*
- Schmeller T., Latz-Brüning B., Wink M., 1997. Biochemical activities of berberine, palmatine and sanguinarine mediating chemical defence against microorganisms and herbivores. *Phytochemistry, 1997 January, 44, 257-266.*
- Teillet B., Colin M., Armengol J., Prigent A.-Y., 2011. Effet d'un extrait de graines de caroube partiellement décortiquées sur les performances de viabilité et de croissance chez le lapin. *14^{èmes Journées de la Recherche Cunicole, 2011 November, Le Mans, France, 5-8.}*
- Tschirmer K., 2008. Proven plant-derived alkaloid can improve feed intake, protein digestibility and carcass quality. *Feed Compounder, 2008 February, 24-25.*
- Vieira S.L., Oyarzabal O.A., Freitas D.M., Berres J., Pena J.E.M., Torres C.A., Coneglian J.L.B., 2008. Performance of broilers fed diets supplemented with sanguinarine-like alkaloids and organic acids. *Journal of applied poultry research, 17, 128-133.*